

REPORT ON THE ELABORATION OF EIFN DISSEMINATION EVENT**DISSEMINATION EVENT**

Event type:	WORKSHOP
Event title:	<i>EIFN WORKSHOP IN PARIS: Improving the access of energy entrepreneurs to financial services</i>
Date:	OCTOBER 15 TH , 2007
Time:	9:30 – 13:30
Event organizers:	DELOITTE S.L.
Address:	185 av. Charles de Gaulle, 92524 Neuilly-sur-Seine Cedex
City:	PARIS
Country:	FRANCE

PARTICIPANTS

Number of attendees:	11
Among which entrepreneurs:	5
Among which R&D actors:	3
Among which financial actors:	3
How many attendees already knew about EIFN activities (roughly)?	6

FEEDBACK**General appreciation or feedback of the event:**

The Innovative Approach for Financing Energy Projects in Europe was a success, reaching a high degree of participation and satisfaction.

Did the audience interact with speakers?

The attendees participated actively during the entire workshop, expressing their views, sharing knowledge and contributing to improve the issues discussed.

What were the most frequently asked questions?

The most frequently asked questions were related to the scope, methodologies and tools of the project. These issues were all clarified and clearly explained during the event to the attendees.

During your event, did you promote the EIFN Newsletters? Yes

Did you identify any attendees who already visited the web site? Yes

If "yes" how many of them? 4

What were the remarks or suggestions from the attendees concerning methodologies and tools for financial service procedures?

The main topics discussed throughout the workshop were the following:

- ✓ access to financial services for energy innovation projects;
- ✓ role played by financial agents regarding energy innovation;
- ✓ description of the EIFN methodologies: Real Option and Montecarlo.

The attendees found the EIFN methodologies very interesting and they showed themselves highly involved in the use of the tools exposed.

CONCLUSIONS OF THE EVENT

The event was considered an excellent opportunity for different market agents to meet and interact among each other.

- ✓ The majority of the participants (89%) considered that the workshop met their interests very well.
- ✓ 75% considered the relevance of the covered topics very high.
- ✓ The overall organisation of the workshop (registration, communication, room, etc.) was considered very good by most participants (90%).
- ✓ The methodology of the meeting was considered very good by a 90% of participants.
- ✓ The documentation provided was considered very good by 85% of the participants.